UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

Peacock Automotive, LLC,)
Plaintiff,) Case No: 9:20-cv-1303-BHH
VS.) DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY
Granite State Insurance Company,	JUDGMENT
Defendant.)
)
)

To: BRADFORD N. MARTIN, ESQ. AND LAURA TEER, ESQ., COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:

Defendant Granite State Insurance Company (hereinafter "Granite State" or "Defendant"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, will move before the Presiding Judge of the United States District Court of South Carolina, ten (10) days after service hereof or as soon thereafter as may be heard, for an Order granting summary judgment in its favor pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

In the instant breach of contract and bad faith matter, Plaintiff Peacock Automotive, LLC ("Peacock" or "Plaintiff") alleges that Defendant breached its insurance contract with Plaintiff and acted in bad faith in denying business interruption coverage following the evacuation order relative to Hurricane Dorian. As an initial matter, Defendant argues that the letter from SCEMD Director Kim Stenson is inadmissible and thus cannot be properly relied upon by Plaintiff to support its own Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. Further, as grounds for this Motion, Defendant asserts that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that Defendant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law insofar as (1) there can be no breach of contract because Plaintiff was not entitled to benefits under the clear and unequivocal terms of the insurance policy where property damages

9:20-cv-01303-BHH Date Filed 09/03/20 Entry Number 23 Page 2 of 2

in the Bahamas was not covered under the territorial restrictions in the Policy and there was no

nexus between the Governor's Executive Order and any existing damage or destruction of property

in the Bahamas; (2) to the extent Peacock's bad faith cause of action substantively asserts claims

of improper claims practices, South Carolina law does not recognize a private cause of action for

improper claims practices; and (3) to the extent a bad faith cause of action was properly raised,

Granite State did not act in bad faith because there was no coverage under the Policy, and,

alternatively, because Granite State did not act unreasonably.

This Motion is supported by relevant statutory and case law, pleadings on file, affidavits,

if any, the attached memorandum in support of this Motion and in response to the Motion for

Partial Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiff, and all arguments presented to this Honorable Court.

Granite State further reserves the right to amend and/or supplement its Motion.

Respectfully submitted, COLLINS & LACY, P.C.

By:

s/: Michael R. Burchstead ____ MICHAEL R. BURCHSTEAD

Fed. ID#: 10297

mburchstead@collinsandlacy.com

LAURA R. BAER

Fed. ID#: 10390

lbaer@collinsandlacy.com Post Office Box 12487

Columbia SC 20211

Columbia, SC 29211

803.256.2660

ATTORNEYS FOR GRANITE STATE
INSURANCE COMPANY

INSURANCE COMPANY

September 3, 2020

Columbia, South Carolina

2